2

4 5

6 7

8 9

11 11

> 12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22 23

2425

26

27

28

FILED

2000 NOV -7 P 1: 47

KEVIN E. O'BRIEN CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Carlos M. Arboleda, Ariz. Bar No. 17109

ARBOLEDA BRECHNER
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4545 EAST SHEA BLVD., STE. 101 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85028

TEL.: 602/953-2400

FAX: 602/953-1875

Attorneys for Ervin Leasing Company, a creditor and party-in-interest.

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re:

BAPTIST FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA, an Arizona nonprofit 501(c)(3) corporation, et al.

Debtors.

APPLICABLE DEBTORS

BAPTIST FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA, Case No. 99-13275-ECF-GBN

FOUNDATION ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, INC., Case No. 99-13279-ECF-GBN

In proceedings under Chapter 11

Case Nos.: 99-13275 through 99-13364

All cases jointly administered under Case No. 99-13275

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF FIRST AMENDED JOINT LIQUIDATING PLAN OF REORGANIZATION OF THE DEBTORS UNDER CHAPTER 11 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE

This Objection is filed by Ervin Leasing Company ("Ervin") in opposition to the confirmation of the "First Amended Joint Liquidating Plan of Reorganization of the Debtors under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code" (the "Plan"), filed on September 25, 2000. For its Objection, Ervin states as follows:

- 2. Pursuant to a Lease Agreement dated August 5, 1999, (the "Lease"), the Debtors are indebted to Ervin in the original amount of \$249,515, plus interests costs and fees. This debt is partially secured by certain office equipment.
- Ervin filed an amended Proof of Claim on or about August 11,
   (the "POC").
- 4. The Plan does not provide any treatment for the POC nor does it classify this claim in any manner whatsoever.
  - 5. Ervin has not been noticed with any objection to the POC.
- 6. Ervin has not been noticed with any motion assuming or rejecting the Lease.
- 7. Despite numerous requests by Ervin, the Debtors refuse to classify the POC and have not provided any explanation for this refusal.
- 8. Ervin objects to the Plan because it fails to comply with the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §1129. The Plan cannot be confirmed under Section 1129(a) because the requirements of subsections (1), (3), (7), (8), and (10) have not been satisfied.
  - a. The Plan does not comply with the provisions of Section 1129(a)(1) because it does not give the POC any treatment. And the Bankruptcy Code requires that all claims be classified with other similarly situated claims and receive the same treatment.

    See 11 U.S.C. §§1122(a) and 1123(a).
  - b. The Plan has not been proposed in good faith, as required in Section 1129(a)(3). First the Debtors have proposed the Plan

ARBOLEDA BRECHNER 4545 EAST SHEA BLVD, STE. 101 PHOENIX, ARLZONA 85028 TEL: 602/953-2400

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for the improper purpose of guaranteeing a distribution to equity interests ahead of general unsecured creditors. the Debtors have created artificially impaired classes in order to have one impair class that will accept the Plan.

- c. The Plan fails to comply with the provisions of Section 1129(a)(7)(A) in that holders of claims in impaired classes not accepting the Plan will not receive an amount greater than the amount which they would receive if the Debtors were liquidated under Chapter 7.
- d. On information and belief, the requirement of Section 1129(a)(8) has not been satisfied, in that impaired classes of claims have not accepted the Plan.
- e. The requirements of Section 1129(a)(10) have not been satisfied, in that a legitimate impaired class has not accepted the Plan.
- f. The Plan cannot be confirmed because the Plan misclassifies claims in violation of Section 1122(a) and fails to treat the POC in a like manner as similarly situated creditors in violation of Section 1123(a)(4).
- Even if the Court determines that all the requirements of Section 1129(a) have been satisfied, other than Section 1129(a)(8), and the Debtor requests a cramdown under §1129(b), the Plan still cannot be confirmed because it discriminates unfairly, and is not fair and equitable with respect to each class that is impaired under the Plan.
- Ervin further objects to confirmation of the Plan pursuant 10. to Section 1129(b) because the Plan clearly discriminates unfairly

1

2

3

4

against all impair claims that have not accepted the Plan for the following reasons:

- a. Under the Plan, equity interests are scheduled to receive distributions before senior classes are paid in full. This violates the absolute priority rule established by Section 1129(b)(2).
- b. The POC is not classified in the Plan and Ervin will not receive or retain on account of that claim, property of a value as of the effective date of the Plan, equal to the amount allowed by such claim.
- 11. Ervin objects to the Plan to the extent that it attempts to affect relationships and obligations between Ervin and non-debtor parties. See Plan, Art. 12.

WHEREFORE, for reasons including, but not limited to those specified above, Ervin respectfully requests that the Court deny confirmation of the Plan, and further prays for such other relief as Ervin my be justly entitled.

DATED this <u>\$\frac{\gamma\text{1}}{2}\$</u> day of November, 2000.

## ARBOLEDA BRECHNER

Carlos M. Arboleda 4545 East Shea Blvd., Ste. 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85028

Attorneys for Ervin Leasing Co.

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed or faxed
2	this <u>The</u> day of November, 2000, to:
3	Craig D. Hansen SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
4	40 North Central, Suite 2700
5	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Fax No. 602/528-4000
6	Brian Hendrickson
7	HENDRICKSON & ASSOC. 4411 S. Rural Rd., Ste. 201
8	Tempe, AZ 85282 Fax No. 480/345-6406
9	rax NO. 480/343-0400
10	Charles Robert Sterbach   GREENBERG TRAURIG
11	One E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 1100 Phoenix, AZ 85012
12	Fax No. 602/263-2350
13	Elizabeth C. Amorosi
14	U.S. TRUSTEE 2929 N. Central Ave., #700
15	P.O. Box 36170 Phoenix, AZ 85012
16	Driver A Albus
17	Bryan A. Albue FENNEMORE CRAIG
18	3003 N. Central Ave., #2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
19	Fax No. 602/916-5999
20	Ali M. M. Mojdehi BAKER & McKENZIE
21	101 West Broadway, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor
22	San Diego, CA 92101 Fax No. 236-0429
23	Mark D. Daane
24	HOOPER, HATHAWAY, ET AL.
25	126 South Main Street Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104-1945
26	
27	Ashar Land